



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Does a history of lumbar spine surgery predict radiological cauda equina compression in patients undergoing MRI for suspected cauda equina syndrome?

Citation for published version:

Pronin, S, Woodfield, J, Hoeritzauer, I, Carson, A, Stone, J, Statham, PF & Demetriades, AK 2019, 'Does a history of lumbar spine surgery predict radiological cauda equina compression in patients undergoing MRI for suspected cauda equina syndrome?', *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, pp. 1-4.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02688697.2019.1687845>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1080/02688697.2019.1687845](https://doi.org/10.1080/02688697.2019.1687845)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

British Journal of Neurosurgery

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the authors' peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Does a history of lumbar spine surgery predict radiological cauda equina compression in patients undergoing MRI for suspected cauda equina syndrome?

Savva Pronin^{1,3*}, Julie Woodfield^{1,2,3}, Ingrid Hoeritzauer^{1,2,3}, Alan Carson^{1,2}, Jon Stone^{1,2}, Patrick F Statham^{1,3}, Andreas K Demetriades^{1,2,3}

1. Department of Clinical Neurosciences, The University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK
2. Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, UK
3. Edinburgh Spinal Surgery Outcome Studies Group

Running title: Prior spinal surgery in CES

*Corresponding author

Address:

Department of Clinical Neurosciences

Western General Hospital

Edinburgh

EH4 2XU

United Kingdom

Telephone: +44 (0)131 537 1000

Email: s.pronin@sms.ed.ac.uk

Word count: 1335

ABSTRACT

Background

The clinical symptoms and signs of CES are non specific and poorly predictive of cauda equina compression on MRI. We aimed to establish whether a history of lumbar spine surgery predicts cauda equina compression on MRI in those presenting with suspected CES.

Methods

A retrospective electronic record review was undertaken of 276 patients referred with clinically suspected cauda equina syndrome who underwent a lumbosacral spine MRI. Those with a history of prior lumbar surgery were compared to those without. The likelihood of cauda equina compression was compared between the two groups.

Results

78/276 (28%) patients with suspected CES had radiological compression of the cauda equina and went on to surgical decompression. 54 (20%) patients had undergone prior lumbar surgery. Patients with a history of lumbar surgery were less likely to have cauda equina compression on MRI (χ^2 - $p=0.035$). 26 (9%) patients presented more than once with suspected CES. Patients with a history of lumbar surgery were more likely to re-present with suspected CES (χ^2 - $p=0.002$).

Conclusions

Prior lumbar surgery was associated with a higher frequency of re-presentation with clinically suspected CES but a lower frequency of radiological cauda equina compression.

Keywords: Cauda equina syndrome; disc herniation; surgical decompression; spine; pathogenesis; lumbar disc.

Background

CES has an incidence of fewer than one in 100,000 per year according to some studies [Podnar 2007; Hurme et al. 1983]. However, the clinical symptoms that make up CES are common. Urinary incontinence has an estimated annual incidence rate of 6.3% in a UK population [McGrother et al. 2004], and the annual incidence of persistent lower back pain is higher still. This leads to a situation where a large number of patients undergo MRI for suspected CES but the majority of scans do not show cauda equina compression..

Series of patients referred for urgent lumbosacral MRI for suspected CES have shown lower than 40% positive rates [Bell et al. 2007; Balasubramanian et al. 2010; Rooney et al. 2009; Thangarajah et al. 2011]. Clinical assessment of any one particular sign or symptom has a poor predictive power for identifying those with cauda equina compression [Bell et al. 2007, Domen et al. 2009, Balasubramanian et al. 2010, Gooding et al. 2013].

It is estimated that 12.2% of patients who undergo lumbar microdiscectomy will undergo re-operation within 4 years [Heindel et al. 2017], and recurrence requiring revision occurred in 7.9% at 10 years in one series [Gaston and Marshall 2003]. It is possible that prior surgery makes cauda equina compression more likely [Bydon et al. 2017]. Repeat operations are associated with poorer outcomes in lumbar surgery [Osterman et al. 2003] and ongoing symptoms may make CES-like presentations more likely [Hoeritzauer et al. 2015]. No previous studies we are aware of have investigated the predictive power of a history of prior surgery.

Materials and methods

A retrospective electronic record review was carried out of patients referred to the Edinburgh neurosurgery unit for urgent MRI for possible CES from August 2013 to November 2014. This study was conducted on the same population as another study [Hoeritzauer et al. 2018]. All patients met the clinical definition for CES described by Fraser et al. [Fraser et al. 2009]. Patients who had undergone lumbar prior decompressive surgery were compared to those who had not. The two groups were compared using X2 tests. Cauda equina compression was defined as previously described [Hoeritzauer et al. 2018], briefly: >75% canal stenosis or lack of CSF around the cauda equina nerve roots or the opinion of the consultant neurosurgeon that the cauda equina compressive lesion was causing the clinical symptoms and would progress to irreversible CES unless urgently surgically decompressed. Any further presentations to our unit for urgent MRI for CES were recorded.

Results

Characteristics of prior lumbar surgery

During the 15 month period, 276 patients underwent urgent lumbosacral MRI for suspected CES. 7 patients had alternate neurological causes mimicking or causing sacral nerve dysfunction without cauda equina compression which were diagnosed during initial presentation – none of these had prior lumbar surgery. 78/276 (28%) had compression of the cauda equina. 72 underwent emergency decompression. The remaining 6 were conservatively managed: 2 were too high operative risk, 3 had metastatic compression and 1 had long duration of symptoms.

54/276 (20%) patients had a history of lumbar surgery prior to presentation (Figure 1). Of those, 9/54 (17%) had cauda equina compression on MRI and 45/54 (83%) did not. Of those without prior surgery, 69/222 (31%) had radiological cauda equina compression on MRI and

153/222 (69%) did not. Prior lumbar surgery was a statistically significant predictor of a lack of cauda equina compression on MRI (χ^2 - $p=0.035$).

17 patients had undergone more than one lumbar surgery prior to index presentation and 3 of these had cauda equine compression (Table). Having two or more previous operations was not associated with the likelihood of cauda equina compression on MRI compared to a single previous surgery (χ^2 - $p=0.90$).

The date of prior surgery ranged from 1 to 18 years before presentation for CES. 21 (39%) had prior surgery within 2 years of index CES presentation - 4 of these had cauda equina compression. Undergoing prior lumbar surgery within the last two years was not associated with cauda equina compression on MRI (χ^2 - $p=0.75$).

11 patients had their prior operation for CES. This was not associated with the likelihood of cauda equina compression on MRI (χ^2 - $p=1.00$) - 2 of these had cauda equina compression. The remainder of prior operations were for radiculopathy.

There were 9 patients with prior surgery and cauda equina compression on MRI. Both operations were at the same spinal level in 4. In 2 they were at adjacent levels and in 2 the levels were non-contiguous. In one patient the level of prior surgery was not available or discernable.

Re-presentation

Data on repeat presentations were available for a mean duration of 15 months (range: 1-32 months). During this period, 26/276 (9%) patients re-presented with suspected CES (Figure 2). Two of these had cauda equina compression on MRI and were decompressed. Eleven had undergone lumbar surgery prior to the index scan; none of these had cauda equina compression on MRI. Prior lumbar surgery led to a higher chance of re-presentation (χ^2 - $p=0.002$). 7/276 (3%) patients re-presented twice or more. In this group, 4 had undergone previous

lumbar surgery. The 6 patients with cauda equina compression during index presentation who were not operated did not re-present.

Discussion

This study suggests that patients who present with suspected CES and have undergone prior lumbar surgery are significantly less likely to have cauda equina compression on imaging than those who have not undergone prior lumbar surgery. Patients who have undergone prior lumbar surgery are also significantly more likely to present on multiple occasions with suspected cauda equina syndrome.

The rate of cauda equina compression on MRI in this study was similar to that seen in other UK series [Balasubramanian et al 2010; Gooding et al 2013]. We are not aware of any other series which document the proportion of patients with suspected CES who have undergone prior lumbar surgery. The incidence of lumbar surgery in the UK adult population was 4.7 per 10,000 in 2011- 2012 [Weir et al. 2017]. We found that 20% of patients with suspected CES had undergone prior lumbar surgery. Precise figures of CES incidence are required for accurate comparison [Woodfield et al. 2018].

Patients who have previously undergone prior lumbar surgery may be more likely to present and be investigated for CES, but less likely to have cauda equina compression on imaging for several reasons. Patients with prior lumbar surgery may be more aware of the risk of potential CES and therefore more likely to present with or be more wary of associated symptoms. Similarly, anxieties about CES in patients with prior surgery may influence referral decisions. The decision to refer for spinal surgery has been shown to be influenced by non-surgical factors such as treatment expectations, [van Dongen et al. 2017]. One explanation is that shared psychological comorbidity risk factors could have been the primary influence on referral and

treatment decisions for initial lumbar surgery and for subsequent presentations without cauda equina compression [Hoeritzauer et al. 2015]. Alternatively, patients previously operated for cauda equina compression with bladder or bowel symptoms may have had persistent urinary, bowel and sexual dysfunction. [Korse et al. 2017]. However, in our series none of the patients reported chronic symptoms prior to their acute presentation. Another explanation is that patients may have been initially incorrectly diagnosed prior to their original lumbar decompression, and the intermitted episodic clinical symptoms may represent an alternative diagnosis.

Changes in biomechanics and local physiology post-operatively could also predispose certain patients to CES symptoms without radiological compression. One study found acute CES to occur in up to 6.6% of post-lumbar surgery patients and also suggested that it may be commoner in those with pre-existing spinal stenosis due to post-operative oedema or venous congestions [Podnar 2001].

Our study is the first to detail the predictive value of prior lumbar surgery for CES. It is limited by possible case selection effects due to retrospective data collection.

Funding: SP was supported by a bursary by the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh.

JW is supported by the Wellcome Trust ECAT Fellowship. IH is supported by and Association of British Neurologists/Patrick Berthoud Charitable Trust Research Training Fellowship. JS is supported by an NRS Career Fellowship from NHS Scotland. The sponsors had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Disclosure of interest: The authors report no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee (name of institute/committee) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

This study has Caldicott approval (ref 1594).

We acknowledge that patients cannot be identified via the paper and that they have been fully anonymised.

References

- Balasubramanian K, Kalsi P, Greenough CG, et al. 2010. Reliability of clinical assessment in diagnosing cauda equina syndrome. *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, 24:4, 383-386.
- Bell DA, Collie D, Statham PF. 2007. Cauda equina syndrome: what is the correlation between clinical assessment and MRI scanning? *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, 21, 201–203.
- Bydon M, Macki M, Kerezoudis P, et al. 2017. The incidence of adjacent segment disease after lumbar discectomy: a study of 751 patients. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience*, 35, 42–6.
- Domen PM, Hofman PA, van Santbrink H, et al. 2009. Predictive value of clinical characteristics in patients with suspected cauda equina syndrome. *European Journal of Neurology*, 16(3), 416-9.
- Fraser S, Roberts L, Murphy E. 2009. Cauda equina syndrome: a literature review of its definition and clinical presentation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 90, 1964-8.
- Gaston P, Marshall RW. 2003. Survival analysis is a better estimate of recurrent disc herniation. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery British volume*, 85(4), 535-7.
- Gooding BW, Higgins MA, Calthorpe DA. 2013. Does rectal examination have any value in the clinical diagnosis of cauda equina syndrome? *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, 27(2), 156-9.
- Heindel P, Tuchman A, Hsieh PC et al. 2017. Reoperation Rates After Single-level Lumbar Discectomy. *Spine*, 42(8), E496-E501.

- Hurme M, Alaranta H, Torma T, et al. 1983. Operated lumbar disc herniation: epidemiological aspects. *Annales chirurgiae et gynaecologiae*, 72(1), 33-6.
- Korse NS, Veldman AB, Peul WC, et al. 2017. The long term outcome of micturition, defecation and sexual function after spinal surgery for cauda equina syndrome. *PLoS One*, 12(4), e0175987.
- Hoeritzauer I, Doherty CM, Thomson S, et al. 2015. 'Scan-negative' cauda equina syndrome: Evidence of functional disorder from a prospective case series. *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, 29(2), 178-80.
- Hoeritzauer I, Pronin S, Carson A, Statham P, Demetriades AK, Stone J. 2018. The clinical features and outcome of scan negative and scan positive cases in suspected cauda equina syndrome - a retrospective study of 276 patients. *Journal of Neurology*, 265(12):2916-2926.
- McGrother CW, Donaldson MM, Shaw C, et al. 2004. Storage symptoms of the bladder: prevalence, incidence and need for services in the UK. *BJU International*, 93(6), 763-9.
- Osterman H, Sund R, Seitsalo S, Keskimäki I. 2003. Risk of multiple reoperations after lumbar discectomy: a population-based study. *Spine*, 28(6), 621-7.
- Podnar S. 2001. Cauda equina lesions as a complication of spinal surgery. *European Spine Journal*, 19(3), 451-7.
- Podnar S. 2007. Epidemiology of cauda equina and conus medullaris lesions. *Muscle and Nerve*, 35(4), 529-31.
- Rooney A, Statham PF, Stone J. 2009. Cauda equina syndrome with normal MR imaging. *J Neurol*. 256:721-5.

- Thangarajah T, O'Donoghue D, Pillay R. 2011. Today or tomorrow? A retrospective analysis of the clinical indications used to request urgent magnetic resonance imaging of the spine. *Annals of The Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 93(1), 76–80.
- van Dongen JM, van Hooff ML, Spruit M, et al. 2017. Which patient-reported factors predict referral to spinal surgery? A cohort study among 4987 chronic low back pain patients. *European Spine Journal*, 26(11), 2782-2788.
- Weir S, Samnaliev M, Kuo T, et al. 2017. The incidence and healthcare costs of persistent postoperative pain following lumbar spine surgery in the UK: a cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and Hospital Episode Statistics (HES). *BMJ Open*, 7, e017585.
- Woodfield J, Hoeritzauer I, Jamjoom AAB, et al. 2018. Understanding cauda equina syndrome: protocol for a UK multicentre prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2018; 8(12):e025230.

Table captions

Table. Characteristics of prior lumbar spinal surgery in patients presenting with clinical cauda equina syndrome (CES).

Figure captions

Figure 1. Division of patients presenting with clinically suspected cauda equina syndrome (?CES) by presence of prior lumbar spinal surgery and MRI scan result.

Figure 2. Flowchart of patients that re-presented with clinically suspected cauda equina syndrome (?CES) on follow-up with proportion of prior lumbar surgery shown.

	Positive MRI	Negative MRI	Total
N	9	45	54
Number of prior surgeries			
1	6 (66%)	31 (69%)	37 (69%)
2	3 (33%)	9 (20%)	12 (22%)
3	-	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
4	-	3 (7%)	3 (6%)
Year of first surgery prior to index			
≤2	4 (44%)	16 (36%)	21 (39%)
>2 to ≤10	2 (22%)	19 (42%)	21 (39%)
≥10	3 (33%)	7 (16%)	10 (19%)
No information	-	3 (7%)	3 (6%)
Range in years	1-18	1-14	1-18
Level(s) of prior surgery			
T11/12	-	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
L1/2	-	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
L2/3	1 (11%)	3 (7%)	4 (7%)
L3/4	3 (33%)	21 (47%)	24 (44%)
L4/5	5 (55%)	23 (51%)	28 (52%)
L5/S1	1 (11%)	5 (11%)	6 (11%)
No information			
Level of index CES			
L2/3	1 (11%)		
L3/4	3 (33%)		
L4/5	4 (44%)		
L5/S1	1 (11%)		
Level of prior surgery compared to index CES			
Same	4 (44%)		
Adjacent	2 (22%)		
Non-contiguous	2 (22%)		
No information	1 (11%)		

Table 1. Characteristics of prior lumbar spinal surgery in patients presenting with clinical cauda equina syndrome (CES).



